

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/759,593	01/16/2004	Kevin Campbell	49942-296293	8696	
27530 75	90 09/01/2006		EXAMINER		
	LLINS RILEY & SCA	MAH, CHUCK Y			
1320 MAIN ST COLUMBIA, S	REET, 17TH FLOOR SC 29201	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
0020112111,	2,201		3677		
			DATE MAILED: 09/01/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
		. 10	10/759,593 CAMPBELL ET AL.		AL.	
Office Action Summary			caminer	Art Unit		
		Cł	nuck Mah	3677		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu r Reply	nication appear	s on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHO WHIC - Exter after: - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N isions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum is re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) munication. tatutory period will ap y will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUNION In no event, however, may oply and will expire SIX (6) Mose the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·	
Status						
2a)☐ 3)☐	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract	2b)⊠ This act for allowance	ion is non-final. except for formal ma	• •	e merits is	
Disnositi	on of Claims		•	•		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-40</u> is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,11-17,23</u> Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,4-10,18-26,28,29,31-34 is/are objected to.</u> Claim(s) is/are object to restrict on Papers	7,30,35,39 <u>and</u> and 36-38 is/ar	40 is/are withdrawn	from consideration.		
_	•	o Everninan				
10)	The specification is objected to by the firm of the drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected to the oath or declaration is objected to the same of the oath or declaration is objected to the oath of the oath oath oath oath oath oath oath oath	: a) ☐ accepte ection to the draw g the correction i	ving(s) be held in abey s required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•		
12)[] / a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationsee the attached detailed Office actions	documents hat documents hat of the priority on al Bureau (Pe	ve been received. ve been received in documents have bee CT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this Nationa	l Stage	
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F		Paper No	y Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date	0.450)	
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice o	f Informal Patent Application (PT ·	O-152)	

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1, 4-10, 18-26, 28, 29, 31-34 and 36-38 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.
The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The description fails to define exactly what "a rounded surface" is, structurally. It cannot be understood how the rounded surface is related to the insert or to the housing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/759,593 Page 3

Art Unit: 3677

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 4. Claims 1, 4-8, 10, 18-21, 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by EP 0674082A1. Note that intended used, for a sliding panel, is given no patentable weight. EP clearly shows a rounded surface surrounding the keyhole 10 of figure 2.
- 5. Claims 1, 4-8, 10, 18-26, 28, 29, 31-34, and 36-38 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Rovtar (6,826,878, filed on Jun. 15, 2002).
 '878 clearly shows a rounded surface 126 in figure 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Page 4

7. Claims 9 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EO 0674082A1.

EO discloses the invention as claimed but for the locking lip extending from the positioning guide. However, EO shows a locking lip (8) extending from the body to lock the assembly in an aperture. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a lip from the positioning guide, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

As to claim 22, EO does not show a plastic assembly. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the assembly with plastic. It is known in the mechanical art that plastic is a modern substitute for metal where strength, durability and resistance to corrosion are desired. And, it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.*

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck Mah whose telephone number is (571)272-7059. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4-9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on (571)272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chuck Mah
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3677

CM